

High-Intensity Interval Training (HIT) for Effective and Time-Efficient Pre-Surgical Exercise Interventions

Matthew Weston¹, Kathryn L Weston², James Prentis³, and Chris P Snowden^{3,4}

¹Department of Sport & Exercise Sciences, School of Social Sciences, Business & Law, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, UK

email: m.weston@tees.ac.uk

²Health and Social Care Institute, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, UK

email: k.weston@tees.ac.uk

³Department of Perioperative and Critical Care Medicine, Freeman Hospital (Newcastle upon Tyne, UK).

email: james.prentis@nuth.nhs.uk

email: Chris.Snowden@nuth.nhs.uk

⁴Institute of Cellular Medicine, University of Newcastle upon Tyne

Corresponding author:

Dr Matthew Weston

Department of Sport & Exercise Sciences, School of Social Sciences, Business & Law, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, UK

Email: m.weston@tees.ac.uk

Telephone: 00441642384430

Abstract

The advancement of perioperative medicine is leading to greater diversity and creativity for pre-surgical interventions implemented to reduce patient surgical risk and enhance post-surgical recovery. Of these pre-surgical interventions, the prescription of preoperative exercise training is gathering momentum as a realistic means for enhancing patient surgical outcome. Indeed, the general benefits of exercise training have the potential to preoperatively optimise several pre-surgical risks factors, including cardiorespiratory function, frailty and cognitive function.

Any exercise programme incorporated into the preoperative pathway of care needs to be effective and time-efficient, in that substantial fitness gains are possible in the often short period of time between the decision for surgery to operation (e.g. 4 weeks). Fortunately, there is a large volume of research describing effective and time-efficient exercise training programmes within the discipline of sports science. Accordingly, the objective of our commentary is to synthesise contemporary exercise training research, both from non-clinical and clinical populations, with an overarching aim of informing the development of effective and time-efficient pre-surgical exercise training programmes.

The development of such exercise training programmes requires the careful consideration of several key principles, namely frequency, intensity, time, type and progression of exercise. Therefore, in light of the gathering evidence demonstrating the effectiveness and time-efficiency of high-intensity interval training - which involves brief bouts of intense exercise interspersed with longer recovery periods - the principles of exercise training programme design will be discussed in the context of high-intensity interval training programmes. Other issues pertinent to the development, implementation and evaluation of preoperative exercise training programmes, such as individual exercise prescription, training session monitoring and potential barriers and risks to high-intensity exercise are also discussed. The evidence presented suggests that individually prescribed and supervised high-intensity interval training programmes, encompassing a variety of exercise modes represent an effective and safe means of exercise therapy prior to surgery.

Key words

Prehabilitation; patient care; training programme; HIT; exercise monitoring; safety

As perioperative medicine develops as a specialty, defining “fitness for surgery” will remain a priority, requiring recognition of suitable objective measures to determine levels of perioperative risk [1,2]. The outdated perception that advanced chronological age has a “cause and effect” relationship with increased surgical risk [3] has already been replaced by concentration on more specific measures of patient risk, including preoperative frailty [4,5], cognitive dysfunction [6] and sarcopaenia [7].

Once developed, robust risk-stratification metrics will initially be used to inform preoperative decision-making and the rational use of scarce postoperative resource. In addition, their role in the development of preoperative interventions aimed at improving surgical recovery will be significant. However, such an interventional approach to preoperative functional improvement has been limited, primarily by a lack of timely patient assessment in the context of challenging cancer and elective surgical targets.

If the perioperative medicine vision, recently presented by the Royal College of Anaesthetists, is to become reality, a close synergy between well-organised patient assessment and the detection of robust objective risk markers, will lead the way towards the development of appropriately managed preoperative interventions, applied early enough in the surgical pathway to make a difference.

The perioperative field has recently adopted the term “Prehabilitation” [8-10]. Loosely defined, it may refer to a group of interventions, integrated into the clinical pathway before a surgical procedure and aimed at both reducing imminent patient risk and promoting lasting beneficial effects on perioperative recovery and outcome. Presently, most of these interventions are based around simple lifestyle change including alcohol or smoking cessation, dietary measures or increasing physical activity above sedentary levels. Our focus here is on structured physical activity in the form of pre-surgical exercise interventions, the effectiveness of which has been the subject of several recent systematic reviews. Valkenet and colleagues [11] reported preoperative exercise therapy to be effective for improving postoperative length of hospital stay and complication rate in patients undergoing cardiac or abdominal surgery and should therefore be considered as standard preoperative care. More recently, a systematic review of 10 studies (1 to 12 weeks in duration) examining preoperative aerobic exercise training in patients awaiting intra-cavity surgery reported training to be generally effective in improving physical fitness [12]. This finding concurs with that of Pouwels et al. [13] who systematically reviewed five studies and reported that current evidence shows pre-operative exercise therapy in abdominal aortic aneurysm patients has potential beneficial effects. The beneficial effects of preoperative exercise extend beyond patients undergoing abdominal or cardiac surgery as Singh et al. [14], following a systematic review of 18 pre-surgical exercise intervention studies (median of 21 days in duration) with cancer patients, reported that pre-surgical exercise protocols containing cardiovascular and resistance exercise resulted in functional and clinical benefits that are critically important in cancer care. Therefore, despite cancer patients often receiving a diverse range of systemic cytotoxic therapies, due to a range of comorbidities, that can be associated with the potential to increase the risk of exercise-related complications [15] exercise training is safe during and after cancer treatments and results in improvements in physical functioning and quality of life [16].

Given the increased evidence in support of pre-surgical exercise interventions, preoperative exercise therapy is therefore likely to develop as a major component of prehabilitation. This is not simply because exercise is known to improve cardiorespiratory function but because of the well documented benefits of exercise on multiple organ systems. Accepting existing

cardiorespiratory function, however it is measured, as a binary concept of “fitness for surgery” is shortsighted and enforces passivity. Cardiorespiratory function in the context of an elective surgical procedure is not an end point – it is a potential starting point to therapy that may have lasting benefit well beyond the perioperative period. The time between “decision to treat” and operation becomes an important “treatable moment”, with the opportunity to assess what part physical activity or exercise plays in the individual’s lifestyle, whether there is a general requirement to change and what support and guidance is required to motivate them to play an active role in their own preoperative preparation. Furthermore, regaining fitness, maintaining fitness, improving fitness or indeed losing fitness, could all be potential outcomes of the way clinical staff accept and promote the concept of preoperative improvement in activity status related to their patients. Nevertheless, even where all the elements for successful behavioural modification are optimised to adapt and integrate exercise programs into the preoperative process, there will always be the need for appropriately designed, timely and individualized exercise programs.

A recent article [17] highlighted the possibility that lessons learned from exercise training within sports medicine and sports science may be transferrable to clinical practice to benefit patient outcomes. While such a notion is clearly in its infancy, we agree that the cross-fertilization of sports science with clinical practice can only help to better inform future prehabilitative exercise interventions. Therefore, in this commentary it is our intention to disseminate contemporary exercise intervention research from both clinical and non-clinical populations, with the ultimate aim of beginning to inform the design and prescription of effective and time-efficient exercise strategies for use prior to surgery.

Physical Activity, Exercise and Cardiorespiratory Function

Physical activity is defined as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscle that results in energy expenditure [18]. Leisure time physical activity, as the name suggests, encompasses activities completed during an individual’s free time, whereas occupational physical activity is performed as part of employment [3,19]. Exercise is a subset of leisure time physical activity that is planned, structured and repetitive. Often, exercise is undertaken to improve and/or maintain components of physical fitness [1,2,19]. A subjective definition of physical fitness relates to an individual’s ability to perform everyday tasks with alertness and vigor, free of unnecessary fatigue, and with ample energy to enjoy leisure activities [4,5,18]. More objectively, physical fitness is a set of measureable health and performance-related attributes or characteristics that include cardiorespiratory function, muscular strength and endurance, body composition, flexibility, balance, agility, reaction time and power [6,18,19]. Of these, cardiorespiratory function (the ability of the cardiovascular and respiratory system to supply oxygen to the working muscles during dynamic exercise [7,19]) is of particular interest from a perioperative standpoint. Indeed, it has been shown that cardiorespiratory function is an independent predictor of mortality and length of hospital stay [3,20] and that higher levels of preoperative function are associated with significantly improved survival rates in individuals undergoing numerous major non-cardiac surgical procedures [8,9,17,20-25]. The physiological rationale relating improved cardiorespiratory function with reduced post-surgery adverse outcomes is strong - patients with adequate fitness levels will be able to better meet the neuroendocrine, metabolic and inflammatory demands of surgery [26]. Accordingly, the preoperative period may represent a good time to engage patients in enhancing cardiorespiratory function [17,27], with exercise training representing a plausible interventional strategy for improving surgical outcome [10,28].

Developing Exercise Programs for Surgery

When prescribing any exercise training programme, consideration should be given to the FITT-VP (Frequency, Intensity, Time, Type, Volume and Progression) principles [29]. Each of these principles will be discussed in the following sections, in the context of the current UK physical activity guidelines, and exercise interventions conducted across clinical and healthy populations. Our examples will be centred on high-intensity interval training (HIT), which typically involves repeated repetitions of intense exercise, interspersed with periods of rest or low intensity active recovery [30]. This is in light of accumulating evidence supporting the use of HIT to elicit substantial improvements in the cardiorespiratory fitness of patient [31,32] and non-patient populations [33-35].

Frequency: describes how many times per day, per week or per month exercise training takes place. In the UK “Start Active, Stay Active” report, it is recommended that all adults aged ≥ 19 years complete at least 150 minutes of moderate intensity activity (e.g. brisk walking) per week, in bouts of 10 minutes or more [36]. This guideline can be achieved by undertaking 30 minutes of moderate intensity activity, at least five days of the week. In addition, physical activity to improve strength is recommended twice weekly. While these guidelines are for physical activity, rather than exercise *per se*, it is widely recognised that many individuals, especially older adults [37], fail to meet these activity targets [36]. Although this is concerning, there is now evidence to suggest that health benefits could be achieved in a more time-efficient manner by replacing some moderate-intensity exercise with something more vigorous in nature [36,38]. This is reflected in both the “Start Active, Stay Active” report and the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) exercise prescription guidance, where it is suggested that 75 minutes/three sessions a week of vigorous intensity activity can replace the 150 minutes/five sessions of moderate intensity exercise [29,36]. Given that “lack of time” remains one of the most frequently reported barriers to regular exercise participation [39,40], this alternative strategy may benefit patients who cannot commit to exercising on a near daily basis, yet still want to improve their fitness in a time-efficient manner.

Intensity: describes, in relative or absolute terms, the effort associated with the exercise. Of the FITT-VP principles, intensity is often the most important determinant of the physiological response to the exercise training [41]. As the individual response to exercise differs depending on initial fitness levels, it is recommended that exercise prescription is based on a relative measure of intensity (e.g. the energy cost of the exercise relative to the *individual's* maximal capacity), rather than an absolute value [42]. This is especially true for older and deconditioned populations [19,43].

The intensity of cardiorespiratory (endurance/aerobic) exercise can be explained practically to patients with the talk-test whereby moderate exercise intensity enables a patient to comfortably hold a conversation, and high-intensity exercise precludes comfortable conversation; however, more accurate methods are available that use ratings of perceived exertion or heart-rate training zones [15]. As such, relative exercise intensity can be assessed in various ways, ideally using either percentage of maximal oxygen uptake (VO_{2max}), determined via a cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET), heart rate (either percentage of maximal heart rate [HR_{max}] or heart rate reserve) and individual's perception of effort using Borg's Ratings of Perceived Exertion (RPE) scales [44], with training intensities in perioperative training programmes predominately based on the latter of these measures [8]. A combination of objectively and subjectively prescribed exercise intensity is likely to represent best practice here. A recent example of this was provided by Tew et al. [26] whereby the intensity of exercise for the first training session was performed at the power output

associated with ventilatory threshold determined on baseline CPET. In subsequent sessions, power output was gradually manipulated until the patient reports a perceived exertion of 6 to 7 on Borg's CR-10 scale [44] at the end of each work interval.

Heart rate monitoring represents a simple and reliable measure of exercise intensity and is widely considered as one of the best and most popular ways to prescribe and monitor exercise intensity [45]. Often, however, patient medication can reduce the reliability of exercise heart rate data. In situations whereby heart rate is difficult to estimate (e.g., arrhythmia or medication such as beta-blockers), RPE are generally considered reliable [46,47] and training studies have demonstrated similar beneficial health effects when patient exercise intensity is guided by RPE compared with other more objective physiological monitoring methods [48,49]. Further, recent findings on the association between changes in RPE and heart rate during graded aerobic exercise indicate that RPE can indeed help guide exercise intensity in everyday clinical practice [50]. Assuming patient familiarisation and correct researcher instructions, we recommend the use of Borg's scales [44], as these scales are valid for prescribing exercise intensity in clinical settings [51].

The measures described above aid categorisation of intensity of exercise training programmes. In recent guidance from the ACSM on exercise prescription [42], the term 'moderate intensity' is used to describe exercise performed at either 46 to <64% of VO_{2max} , 64 to <76% HR_{max} , or 12 to 13 on Borg's 6 to 20 RPE scale. 'Vigorous intensity' defines exercise completed at 64 to <91% of VO_{2max} , 76 to <96% of HR_{max} , or an RPE of 14 to 17; whereas exercise performed at $\geq 91\%$ of VO_{2max} , $\geq 96\%$ of HR_{max} , or at an RPE ≥ 18 is described as 'near maximal' or 'maximal'. It should, however, be noted that while these descriptors are helpful, they do not represent universally standardised terminology for intensity quantification within the exercise science literature. Indeed, the intensity of an exercise programme can often fall between the aforementioned ranges. An example of this is HIT, which is typically performed at $\geq 90\%$ HR_{max} , therefore necessitating short interval durations (often ~30 to 60 s) and longer recovery periods. Over the last decade, there has been a surge of scientific interest on the efficacy of HIT, such that there is now accumulating evidence that, when performed over several weeks, HIT is a more effective means of improving cardiorespiratory fitness than prolonged sessions of moderate-intensity exercise [31,32,52]. Indeed, following a meta-analysis of HIT in patients with lifestyle-induced cardiometabolic disease, Weston et al. [31] reported that HIT increased cardiorespiratory fitness by almost double (19.4% vs 10.3%) that of moderate intensity continuous exercise (e.g. 50 to 60% of VO_{2max}). As such, there is a consensus for the benefit of HIT for improving cardiorespiratory fitness in patient populations [31,32,53,54].

Time: describes the duration of the exercise session, in terms of seconds, minutes or hours. When described in this context, the UK physical activity guidelines for adults equate to 150 minutes of moderate intensity activity per week. Recently, however, it has been highlighted that by focusing solely on the 150-min recommendation, the benefits of lesser amounts of exercise could be overlooked [55]. This includes HIT, where, depending on the protocol utilised, the time spent performing exercise repetitions may only accumulate to a few minutes per session. Nonetheless, the time-efficient nature of HIT leads to greater health-enhancing benefits, including improved cardiorespiratory fitness, in less time, which increases the appeal of this form of exercise [31,32,52-54].

When devising an exercise programme, the principle of time can be further broken down into time spent exercising (e.g. duration of exercise repetition), and time spent recovering (duration of rest period). This is particularly useful during interval training, where the time

spent exercising and recovering can vary across trials. In the meta-analysis of Weston et al. [31], seven of the ten studies utilised the same type of HIT programme, which consisted of four repetitions of 4-min uphill treadmill walking/ running, each interspersed with 3-min active recovery. However, to fully determine which HIT programme generates the highest benefit in terms of training response, long-term health, quality of life, and patient satisfaction a variety of programmes should be used [55]. Furthermore, HIT actually encompasses a broad spectrum of exercise intensities and, given the pivotal role exercise intensity has on the adaptive response [41], training sessions performed across this range of exercise intensity elicit differential adaptations [56,57]. For example, Rønnestad et al. [57] reported short HIT intervals (15-sec) induced superior cardiorespiratory and power training adaptations, when compared with long HIT intervals (5-min). Low-volume HIT is a form of high-intensity interval training at the highest end of the intensity spectrum [35]. Typical low-volume HIT programmes involve 4 to 6 repetitions of 30 to 60-s maximal exercise and these programmes elicit substantial improvements in the cardiorespiratory fitness of recreationally active and sedentary individuals [35]. While most of the low-volume HIT research to date has been performed on healthy young adults, it has been reported that 2-min of maximal intensity exercise per week for 6 weeks can be an effective strategy for counteracting age-related functional decline, reducing cardiovascular disease risk and promoting further engagement in physical activity within an elderly population [58]. The time-efficiency afforded by low-volume HIT (e.g. rapid gains in a short period of time) therefore has clear application to perioperative care given that time-efficient exercise interventions facilitate minimal delay in surgery while also improving fitness outcomes [17].

Type: refers to the mode of exercise being undertaken (e.g. walking, running, cycling, dancing, resistance training). In the past, attributes such as power, balance and reaction time were described as aspects of ‘performance-related fitness’, such that they were almost exclusively associated with sporting performance outcomes [19]. However, it is now widely acknowledged that many basic daily activities are dependent on the ability to generate force at high velocity [35] and therefore power/strength training is associated with improved mobility-related outcomes, self-efficacy, satisfaction with physical function and overall life satisfaction in the elderly [59,60]. This is recognised by the inclusion of specific strength (resistance) training guidelines for adults and older adults in the current UK physical activity guidelines. It should therefore come as no surprise that, alongside cardiorespiratory fitness, preoperative functional status can help to identify patients at higher risk of postoperative complications [61]. Perioperative exercise training programmes should thus aim to improve cardiorespiratory fitness *and* muscle strength [28].

The combination of endurance training and strength training within the same training programme is referred to as “Concurrent” training. While the combination of endurance and strength training is an effective means of improving strength/neuromuscular and cardiorespiratory function in healthy older adults [62-66] and patient populations [67-69] there is some evidence to suggest that concurrent training attenuates gains in muscle mass, strength, and power compared with undertaking resistance training alone [70]. However, the majority of literature investigating concurrent training has implemented continuous or continuous and interval endurance training protocols alongside strength training [71] and interference effects of endurance training are a factor of the mode, frequency, and duration of the endurance training selected [72]. As such, the possibility exists that it is the total volume of endurance exercise, rather than the intensity, that may be more crucial in mediating concurrent interference [70,73] and therefore low-volume HIT protocols might confer benefit over traditional endurance training by limiting any potential volume-dependent interference effect, while also offering a similar, if not better, fitness benefit [32,52,70,71]. Ultimately,

performing two types of training within the same training programme (e.g. aerobic and strength) requires an increased time commitment. Low-volume HIT can negate this, however, as simultaneous improvements aerobic fitness and strength/power have been observed following this type of training programme [71,74-76]; therefore, introducing a low-volume HIT programme into the perioperative care pathway has further appeal here.

The prevalent type of exercise dominating the sport and clinical HIT literature is lower body - either cycling or treadmill walking/running [26,31,33-35,77]. Yet activities of daily living require a synergy of lower and upper body fitness and recent evidence, albeit with a small group of young to middle-aged healthy males, shows of little transfer from lower body training to upper body gains [78]. Therefore, more consideration could be given to the type of exercise prescribed for perioperative interventions. For example, Osawa and colleagues [78] reported that a HIT programme combining upper and lower body exercise led to improved upper and lower body aerobic capacity, while also promoting muscle hypertrophy of key stabilising musculature. Heinrich et al. [79] recently provided experimental findings that add further to the appeal of combined upper and lower body HIT. Here, the authors used 'crossfit' (incorporating combined intensive upper and lower body exercises such as squats, push ups etc.), as the type of HIT and reported that, when compared to traditional aerobic and strength training exercises, participants spent significantly less time exercising per week, yet were able to maintain exercise enjoyment and were more likely to intend to continue [79]. Therefore, a low-volume HIT programme delivered via exercises using a combination of upper and lower body exercises/movements would represent an attractive perioperative fitness training strategy by improving both cardiorespiratory fitness and strength/power in a time-efficient manner.

Volume: this is the product of the Frequency, Intensity and Time principles of exercise and therefore describes the total amount of exercise performed. This principle is a major consideration when developing pre-surgical exercise interventions spanning a number of sessions, as opposed to a one-off session. Recent research on the dose-response nature of low-volume HIT has demonstrated that reducing the volume of intensive exercise does not necessarily diminish the adaptive response in healthy men [75]. In this study, the authors found that decreasing the time duration of low-volume HIT repetitions by 50% (15-s repetitions vs 30-s repetitions) during a four-week training intervention did not diminish adaptations in cardiorespiratory fitness or muscular power [75]. Establishing a minimal effective amount of HIT [80-81] therefore represents an attractive line of investigation for researchers, as does examination of the minimal amount of exercise required to maintain prior HIT-induced fitness gains [26,82]. More work examining the 'optimal' amount of HIT for firstly developing and secondly maintaining perioperative fitness, in case of delayed surgery, would be welcomed as such research would clearly be for patient benefit.

Progression: relates to how the prescription of exercise training advances over time. This can be achieved by increasing the frequency, intensity or duration of an exercise programme, though it is recommended that only one element be changed at a time [29]. Prior to making any adjustments, however, it should first be ensured that each aspect of the programme is being measured accurately. Assessing the frequency of exercise sessions can be recorded through a session attendance register, or an individual diary. Ascertaining whether individuals are consistently performing at the correct exercise intensity throughout their programme relates to the concept of intervention fidelity - the demonstration that an experimental manipulation (in this case, an exercise programme) has been implemented as intended, in a comparable manner to all participants [83]. An assessment of fidelity is integral to the internal validity of intervention-based trials [84,85], by providing greater insight into

the true relationship between exposure (the exercise programme) and outcome [86]. By measuring and reporting exercise intensity on a session-by-session basis (e.g., within-session RPE scores), along with physiological changes determined via mid- and post-intervention assessments (e.g. a CPET) researchers are able ascertain whether the fidelity of the intervention has been upheld for all participants [35]. Further, relative measures of exercise intensity such as RPE scores provide a practical and valid means of ensuring training progression is inherent within programmes. Whilst responsive training, whereby training intensities are redefined and re-prescribed following a mid-programme assessment of exercise capacity [77], can also provide information to aid training progression, this is at greater fiscal and time cost. Such assessments can also disrupt the frequency of an exercise training programme, since the exercise capacity test itself will count as an exercise session. As such, it is recommended that assessments be accounted for when designing the training programme.

Running and cycling are physiological tasks that elicit dissimilar dimensions of central and peripheral fatigue [87]; therefore, from a practical perspective it is important that CPET informed training sessions are performed on the same mode of exercise equipment as the test, otherwise the intensity may no longer be in the prescribed domain. It is also imperative that the effectiveness of exercise programmes devised to improve both aerobic fitness and strength/power is assessed with appropriate tests that permit the detection of change in these disparate fitness components. For example, studies showing strong similarities between training and testing routines are more likely to show training improvements [88] and with this in mind we recommend the inclusion of functional fitness tests to assess the transference of intervention effects to activities of daily living. Therefore, along with, or potentially in replacement of traditional laboratory tests of aerobic fitness (e.g., $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$, anaerobic threshold) and strength/power (e.g., isokinetic dynamometry, 1 repetition maximum), such tests as the 6-min walk test [89], 30-s chair stand test [90] and the 8-foot up-and-go test [91] have appeal for the assessment of fitness changes in the perioperative setting.

Other considerations

At the individual session level, consideration should be given to the training principles of intensity, time and type. When developing an exercise programme spanning a number of weeks or months (less likely in the pre-surgical context), however, thought must also be given to how often the sessions will take place, how they will progress over time, and the overall volume of exercise prescribed. Consideration should also be given to the perceived barriers to exercise participation that patients may face. For example, opponents of HIT, and in particular low-volume HIT, often highlight that the intense physical effort required and associated fatigue may be detrimental to motivation [55]. This claim, however, has not been evidenced in the literature as adherence rates to clinical and non-clinical HIT programmes have been high, albeit in relatively small samples sizes, and often display better adherence than ‘traditional’ moderate-intensity exercise programmes [92,93]. Further discussion surrounding this topic can be found elsewhere [94].

It has also been suggested that performing HIT may increase injury risk and medical complications [95]. While these are important issues to be aware of, risks can be greatly minimized through proper consideration of the FITT-VP principles from the programme onset, and ensuring that the exerciser performs an adequate warm-up. Ensuring that exercise intensity is set relative to the individual’s capacity, as opposed to a standardized value, can also prevent stagnation, over-exertion and the risks associated with this. Furthermore, following a recent systematic review of HIT in patients with cardiovascular and metabolic

diseases, Levinger et al. [96] reported that in all studies, the rate of adverse responses was low, and HIT sessions were well tolerated overall across all patients. We concur with the safety advice provided by the authors whereby patients undertaking HIT should be clinically stable, have had recent exposure to at least regular moderate intensity exercise, undertake the training in facilities that have both the equipment and the expertise to handle adverse responses, and have appropriate supervision and monitoring during and after the exercise session.

Perioperative exercise training represents a credible means for improving surgical outcome. In this commentary we have attempted to combine contemporary exercise training research from both exercise science and clinical science, to inform on key issues related to exercise training programme design, namely; frequency, intensity, time, exercise type, volume and training progression. Collectively, the evidence presented on the FITT-VP principle with regards to HIT, support its use as promising perioperative strategy for enhancing cardiorespiratory fitness. We are not suggesting that HIT should be used as a replacement for all other forms of exercise and physical activity; however, given the need for pre-surgery exercise interventions to be both effective and time-efficient, we believe that carefully designed and supervised HIT programmes targeting the upper and lower body and tailored to the individual, represent a valuable addition to the perioperative pathway of care.

Abbreviations

ACSM: American College of Sports Medicine
CPET: Cardiopulmonary exercise test
FITT-VP: Frequency, Intensity, Time, Type, Volume and Progression
HIT: High-intensity interval training
HR_{max}: Maximal heart rate
RPE: Ratings of perceived exertion
VO_{2max}: Maximal oxygen uptake

Authors' contributions

Conceived the article: MW KW JP CS. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: MW KW JP CS. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. No sources of funding were used to assist in the preparation of this commentary.

Acknowledgements

None

References

1. Pearse RM, Harrison DA, James P, Watson D, Hinds C, Rhodes A, et al. Identification and characterisation of the high-risk surgical population in the United Kingdom. *Crit Care* 2006;10(3):R81
2. Pearse RM, Holt PJ, Grocott MP. Managing perioperative risk in patients undergoing

- elective non-cardiac surgery. *BMJ* 2011;343:d5759
3. Findlay GP. Knowing the Risk. A review of the peri-operative care of surgical patients: A report by the National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome and Death. 2011. http://www.ncepod.org.uk/2011report2/downloads/POC_fullreport.pdf. Accessed 6 February 2015.
 4. Partridge JS, Harari D, Dhesi JK. Frailty in the older surgical patient: a review. *Age Ageing* 2012 Mar;41(2):142-7.
 5. Makary MA, Segev DL, Pronovost PJ, Syin D, Bandeen-Roche K, Patel P, et al. Frailty as a predictor of surgical outcomes in older patients. *J Am Coll Surg* 2010;210(6):901-8.
 6. Bettelli G. Preoperative evaluation in geriatric surgery: comorbidity, functional status and pharmacological history. *Minerva Anestesiol* 2011;77(6):637-46.
 7. Englesbe MJ, Terjimanian MN, Lee JS, Sheetz KH, Harbaugh CM, Hussain A, et al. Morphometric age and surgical risk. *J Am Coll Surg* 2013;216(5):976-85.
 8. Jack S, West M, Grocott MPW. Perioperative exercise training in elderly subjects. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol*. 2011;25(3):461-72.
 9. Carli F, Brown R, Kennepohl S. Prehabilitation to enhance postoperative recovery for an octogenarian following robotic-assisted hysterectomy with endometrial cancer. *Can J Anaesth* 2012;59(8):779-84.
 10. Gillis C, Li C, Lee L, Awasthi R, Augustin B, Gamsa A, et al. Prehabilitation versus rehabilitation: a randomized control trial in patients undergoing colorectal resection for cancer. *Anesthesiology* 2014;121(5):937-47.
 11. Valkenet K, van de Port IG, Dronkers JJ, de Vries WR, Lindeman E, Backx FJ. The effects of preoperative exercise therapy on postoperative outcome: a systematic review. *Clin Rehabil* 2011;25:99–111.
 12. O'Doherty AF, West M, Jack S, Grocott MPW. Preoperative aerobic exercise training in elective intra-cavity surgery: a systematic review. *Br J Anaesth* 2013;110:679–689.
 13. Pouwels S, Willigendael EM, van Sambeek MRHM, Nienhuijs SW, Cuypers PWM, Teijink JAW. Beneficial Effects of Pre-operative Exercise Therapy in Patients with an Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm: A Systematic Review. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2015;49(1):66-76.
 14. Singh F, Newton RU, Galvão DA, Spry N, Baker MK. A systematic review of pre-surgical exercise intervention studies with cancer patients. *Surg Oncol* 2013;22:92–104.
 15. Jones LW, Eves ND, Peppercorn J. Pre-exercise screening and prescription guidelines for cancer patients. *Lancet Oncol* 2010;11:914–916.
 16. Schmitz KH, Courneya KS, Matthews C, Demark-Wahnefried W, Galvão DA, Pinto BM, et al. American College of Sports Medicine roundtable on exercise guidelines for cancer survivors. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2010:1409–1426.
 17. Durrand J, Batterham A, Danjoux G. Pre- habilitation (i): aggregation of marginal gains. *Anaesthesia* 2014;69(5):403-6.
 18. Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. *Public Health Rep* 1985;100(2):126-31.
 19. Howley ET. Type of activity: resistance, aerobic and leisure versus occupational physical activity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2001;33(6; Supp):S364-9.
 20. Snowden CP, Prentis J, Jacques B, Anderson H, Manas D, Jones D, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness predicts mortality and hospital length of stay after major elective surgery in older people. *Ann Surg* 2013;257(6):999-1004.
 21. Moyes LH, McCaffer CJ, Carter RC, Fullarton GM, Mackay CK, Forshaw MJ.

- Cardiopulmonary exercise testing as a predictor of complications in oesophagogastric cancer surgery. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2013 Mar;95(2):125-30.
22. West MA, Lythgoe D, Barben CP, Noble L, Kemp GJ, Jack S, et al. Cardiopulmonary exercise variables are associated with postoperative morbidity after major colonic surgery: a prospective blinded observational study. *Br J Anaesth* 2014 Apr;112(4):665-71.
 23. Snowden CP, Prentis JM, Anderson HL, Roberts DR, Randles D, Renton M, et al. Submaximal cardiopulmonary exercise testing predicts complications and hospital length of stay in patients undergoing major elective surgery. *Ann Surg* 2010 Mar;251(3):535-41.
 24. Prentis JM, Trenell MI, Jones DJ, Lees T, Clarke M, Snowden CP. Submaximal exercise testing predicts perioperative hospitalization after aortic aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg* 2012;56(6):1564-70.
 25. Older P, Hall A. Clinical review: how to identify high-risk surgical patients. *Crit Care*. 2004;8(5):369-72
 26. Tew GA, Weston M, Kothmann E, Batterham AM, Gray J, Kerr K, et al. High-intensity interval exercise training before abdominal aortic aneurysm repair (HIT-AAA): protocol for a randomised controlled feasibility trial. *BMJ Open* 2014;4(1):e004094;http://dx.doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004094.
 27. Carli F, Charlebois P, Stein B, Feldman L, Zavorsky G, Kim D, et al. Randomized clinical trial of prehabilitation in colorectal surgery. *Br J Surg* 2010;97(8):1187-97.
 28. Snowden CP, Minto G. Exercise: the new premed. *Br J Anaesth* 2015;114(2):186-89.
 29. American College of Sports Medicine. ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription. Baltimore, MD: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2013. p. 162-79.
 30. Fox EL, Bartels RL, Billings CE, Mathews DK, Bason R, Webb WM. Intensity and distance of interval training programs and changes in aerobic power. *Med Sci Sports* 1973;5(1):18-22.
 31. Weston KS, Wisloff U, Coombes JS. High-intensity interval training in patients with lifestyle-induced cardiometabolic disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* 2014;48(16):1227-34.
 32. Liou K, Ho S, Fildes J, Ooi S-Y. High Intensity Interval versus Moderate Intensity Continuous Training in Patients with Coronary Artery Disease: A Meta-analysis of Physiological and Clinical Parameters. *Heart Lung Circ*. 2015 Jul 22. pii: S1443-9506(15)01269-X. doi: 10.1016/j.hlc.2015.06.828. [Epub ahead of print]
 33. Sloth M, Sloth D, Overgaard K, Dalgas U. Effects of sprint interval training on VO2max and aerobic exercise performance: A systematic review and meta- analysis. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2013;23(6):e341-52.
 34. Gist NH, Fedewa MV, Dishman RK, Cureton KJ. Sprint interval training effects on aerobic capacity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med* 2014;44(2):269-79.
 35. Weston M, Taylor KL, Batterham AM, Hopkins WG. Effects of Low-Volume High-Intensity Interval Training (HIT) on Fitness in Adults: A Meta-Analysis of Controlled and Non-Controlled Trials. *Sports Med* 2014;44(7):1005-17.
 36. Department of Health, Physical Activity, Health Improvement and Protection. Start Active, Stay Active: A report on physical activity from the four home countries' Chief Medical Officers. 2011.
https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/216370/dh_128210.pdf. Accessed 26 September 2014.
 37. Jefferis BJ, Sartini C, Lee I, Choi M, Amuzu A, Gutierrez C, et al. Adherence to physical activity guidelines in older adults, using objectively measured physical

- activity in a population-based study. *BMC Public Health*. 2014 Apr 19;14:382. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-382.
38. US Department of Health and Human Services. 2008 physical activity guidelines for Americans. 2008. <http://www.health.gov/paguidelines/pdf/paguide.pdf>. Accessed 26 September 2014.
 39. Dishman RK. Compliance/adherence in health-related exercise. *Health Psychol* 1982;1(3):237.
 40. Trost SG, Owen N, Bauman AE, Sallis JF, Brown W. Correlates of adults' participation in physical activity: review and update. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2002;34(12):1996-2001.
 41. Hickson RC, Foster C, Pollock ML, Galassi TM, Rich S. Reduced training intensities and loss of aerobic power, endurance, and cardiac growth. *J Appl Physiol* 1985;58(2):492-499.
 42. Garber CE, Blissmer B, Deschenes MR, Franklin BA, Lamonte MJ, Lee IM, et al. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: guidance for prescribing exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43(7):1334-59.
 43. Nelson ME, Rejeski WJ, Blair SN, Duncan PW, Judge JO, King AC, et al. Physical activity and public health in older adults: recommendation from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2007;39(8):1435-45.
 44. Borg GA. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1982;14(5):377-81.
 45. Impellizzeri FM, Rampinini E, Coutts AJ, Sassi A, Marcora SM. Use of RPE-based training load in soccer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2004;36(6):1042-47.
 46. Eston RG, Williams JG. Reliability of ratings of perceived effort regulation of exercise intensity. *Br J Sports Med* 1988;22(4):153-155.
 47. Buckley JP, Sim J, Eston RG. Reproducibility of ratings of perceived exertion soon after myocardial infarction: responses in the stress-testing clinic and the rehabilitation gymnasium. *Ergonomics* 2009;52(4):421-427.
 48. Ilarraz H, Myers J, Kottman W, Rickli H, Dubach P. An evaluation of training responses using self-regulation in a residential rehabilitation program. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 2004;24:27-33.
 49. Zanettini R, Centeleghe P, Ratti F, Benna S, Tullio LD, Sorlini N. Training prescription in patients on beta-blockers: percentage peak exercise methods or self-regulation? *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2012;19:205-212.
 50. Tang LH, Zwisler A-D, Taylor RS, Doherty P, Zangger G, Berg SK, et al. Self-rating level of perceived exertion for guiding exercise intensity during a 12-week cardiac rehabilitation programme and the influence of heart rate reducing medication. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2015 Sep 10. pii: S1440-2440(15)00176-0. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2015.08.004. [Epub ahead of print]
 51. Impellizzeri FM, Borg E, Coutts AJ. Intersubjective comparisons are possible with an accurate use of the Borg CR scales. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2011;6(1):2-4; author reply 4-5.
 52. Milanović Z, Sporis G, Weston M. Effectiveness of High-Intensity Interval Training (HIT) and Continuous Endurance Training for VO₂max Improvements: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Controlled Trials. *Sports Med* 2015;45:1469-1481.
 53. Kessler HS, Sisson SB, Short KR. The potential for high-intensity interval training to reduce cardiometabolic disease risk. *Sports Med* 2012;42(6):489-509.

54. Pattyn N, Coeckelberghs E, Buys R, Cornelissen VA, Vanhees L. Aerobic interval training vs. moderate continuous training in coronary artery disease patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med* 2014;44(5):687-700.
55. Sparling PB, Howard BJ, Dunstan DW, Owen N. Recommendations for physical activity in older adults. *BMJ* 2015; doi: 10.1136/bmj.h100.
56. Barnes KR, Hopkins WG, McGuigan MR, Kilding AE. Effects of different uphill interval-training programs on running economy and performance. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2013;8(6):639-47.
57. Rønnestad B, Hansen J, Vegge G, Tønnessen E, Slettaløkken G. Short intervals induce superior training adaptations compared with long intervals in cyclists—An effort- matched approach. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2014; doi: 10.1111/sms.12165. [Epub ahead of print].
58. Adamson SB, Lorimer R, Cobley JN, Babraj JA. Extremely Short-Duration High-Intensity Training Substantially Improves the Physical Function and Self- Reported Health Status of Elderly Adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2014;62(7):1380-81.
59. Katula JA, Rejeski WJ, Marsh AP. Enhancing quality of life in older adults: a comparison of muscular strength and power training. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2008 Jun 13;6:45. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-6-45.
60. Hruda KV, Hicks AL, McCartney N. Training for muscle power in older adults: effects on functional abilities. *Can J App Physiol* 2003;28(2):178-89.
61. Saxton A, Velanovich V. Preoperative frailty and quality of life as predictors of postoperative complications. *Ann Surg* 2011;253(6):1223-9.
62. Cadore EL, Izquierdo M. How to simultaneously optimize muscle strength, power, functional capacity, and cardiovascular gains in the elderly: an update. *Age (Dordr)* 2013;35:2329–2344.
63. Cadore EL, Pinto RS, Bottaro M, Izquierdo M. Strength and endurance training prescription in healthy and frail elderly. *Aging Dis* 2014;5:183–195.
64. Wilhelm EN, Rech A, Minozzo F, Botton CE, Radaelli R, Teixeira BC, et al. Concurrent strength and endurance training exercise sequence does not affect neuromuscular adaptations in older men. *Exp Gerontol* 2014;60:207-214.
65. Berryman N, Bherer L, Nadeau S, Lauzière S, Lehr L, Bobeuf F, et al. Multiple roads lead to Rome: combined high-intensity aerobic and strength training vs. gross motor activities leads to equivalent improvement in executive functions in a cohort of healthy older adults. *Age (Dordr)* 2014;36:9710–19.
66. Burich R, Teljigović S, Boyle E, Sjogaard G. Aerobic training alone or combined with strength training affects fitness in elderly: Randomized trial. *Eur J Sports Sci* 2015 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2015.1060262>.
67. Iepsen UW, Jorgensen KJ, Ringbaek T, Hansen H, Skrubbeltrang C, Lange P. A combination of resistance and endurance training increases leg muscle strength in COPD: An evidence-based recommendation based on systematic review with meta-analyses. *Chron Respir Dis* 2015;12(2):132-45.
68. Casla S, López-Tarruella S, Jerez Y, Marquez-Rodas I, Galvão DA, Newton RU, et al. Supervised physical exercise improves VO2max, quality of life, and health in early stage breast cancer patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2015;153:371–382.
69. Buffart LM, Newton RU, Chinapaw MJ, Taaffe DR, Spry NA, Denham JW, et al. The effect, moderators, and mediators of resistance and aerobic exercise on health-related quality of life in older long-term survivors of prostate cancer. *Cancer* 2015;121:2821–2830.
70. Fyfe JJ, Bishop DJ, Stepto NK. Interference between concurrent resistance and

- endurance exercise: molecular bases and the role of individual training variables. *Sports Med* 2014;44:743–762.
71. Cantrell GS, Schilling BK, Paquette MR, Murlasits Z. Maximal strength, power, and aerobic endurance adaptations to concurrent strength and sprint interval training. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2014;114:763–771.
 72. Wilson JM, Marin PJ, Rhea MR, Wilson SMC, Loenneke JP, Anderson JC. Concurrent training: a meta-analysis examining interference of aerobic and resistance exercises. *J Strength Cond Res* 2012;26:2293–2307.
 73. de Souza E, Tricoli V, Roschel H, Brum P, Bacurau AV, Ferreira JC, et al. Molecular Adaptations to Concurrent Training. *Int J Sports Med* 2013;34:207–213.
 74. Rodas G, Ventura JL, Cadefau JA, Cussó R, Parra J. A short training programme for the rapid improvement of both aerobic and anaerobic metabolism. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2000;82(5-6):480-6.
 75. Zelt JG, Hankinson PB, Foster WS, Williams CB, Reynolds J, Garneys E, et al. Reducing the volume of sprint interval training does not diminish maximal and submaximal performance gains in healthy men. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2014;114(11):2427-36.
 76. Buckley S, Knapp K, Lackie A, Lewry C, Horvey K, Benko C, et al. Multimodal high-intensity interval training increases muscle function and metabolic performance in females. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2015;40:1157–1162.
 77. West MA, Loughney L, Lythgoe D, Barben CP, Sripadam R, Kemp GJ, et al. Effect of prehabilitation on objectively measured physical fitness after neoadjuvant treatment in preoperative rectal cancer patients: a blinded interventional pilot study. *Br J Anaesth* 2015;114(2):244-51.
 78. Osawa Y, Azuma K, Tabata S, Katsukawa F, Ishida H, Oguma Y, et al. Effects of 16-week high-intensity interval training using upper and lower body ergometers on aerobic fitness and morphological changes in healthy men: a preliminary study. *Open Access J Sports Med* 2014;5:257-65.
 79. Heinrich KM, Patel PM, O'Neal JL, Heinrich BS. High-intensity compared to moderate-intensity training for exercise initiation, enjoyment, adherence, and intentions: an intervention study. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:789; doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-789.
 80. Metcalfe RS, Babraj JA, Fawcner SG, Vollaard NB. Towards the minimal amount of exercise for improving metabolic health: beneficial effects of reduced-exertion high-intensity interval training. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2012;112(7):2767-75.
 81. Gillen JB, Percival ME, Skelly LE, Martin BJ, Tan RB, Tarnopolsky MA, et al. Three Minutes of All-Out Intermittent Exercise per Week Increases Skeletal Muscle Oxidative Capacity and Improves Cardiometabolic Health. *PloS one* 2014;9(11):e111489; doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0111489.
 82. Macpherson TW, Weston M. The Effect of Low-Volume Sprint Interval Training (SIT) on the Development and Subsequent Maintenance of Aerobic Fitness in Soccer Players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2015;10(3):332-338.
 83. Dumas JE, Lynch AM, Laughlin JE, Phillips Smith E, Prinz RJ. Promoting intervention fidelity: Conceptual issues, methods, and preliminary results from the EARLY ALLIANCE prevention trial. *Am J Prev Med* 2001;20(1):38-47.
 84. Bellg AJ, Borrelli B, Resnick B, Hecht J, Minicucci DS, Ory M, et al. Enhancing treatment fidelity in health behavior change studies: best practices and recommendations from the NIH Behavior Change Consortium. *Health Psychol* 2004;23(5):443-51.
 85. Horner S, Rew L, Torres R. Enhancing intervention fidelity: a means of strengthening

- study impact. *J Spec Pediatr Nurs.* 2006;11(2):80-9
86. Taylor KL, Weston M, Batterham AM. Evaluating Intervention Fidelity: An Example from a High-Intensity Interval Training Study. *PLoS One.* 2015 Apr 22;10(4):e0125166. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0125166. eCollection 2015.
 87. Millet GP, Vleck VE, Bentley DJ. Physiological differences between cycling and running. *Sports Med.* 2009;39:179–206.
 88. Buchheit M. Should we be recommending repeated sprints to improve repeated-sprint performance? *Sports Med* 2012, 42:169–72; author reply 172–3.
 89. Bellet RN, Adams L, Morris NR. The 6-minute walk test in outpatient cardiac rehabilitation: validity, reliability and responsiveness--a systematic review. *Physiotherapy.* 2012 Dec;98(4):277-86.
 90. Jones CJ, Rikli RE, Beam WC. A 30-s chair-stand test as a measure of lower body strength in community-residing older adults. *Res Q Exerc Sport* 1999;70:113–119.
 91. Rose DJ, Jones CJ, Lucchese N: Predicting the probability of falls in community-residing older adults using the 8-foot up-and-go: a new measure of functional mobility. *J Aging Phys Act* 2002;10:466-475
 92. Wisloff U, Stoylen A, Loennechen JP, Bruvold M, Rognmo O, Haram PM, et al. Superior cardiovascular effect of aerobic interval training versus moderate continuous training in heart failure patients: a randomized study. *Circulation* 2007;115(24):3086-94.
 93. Currie KD, Bailey KJ, Jung ME, McKelvie RS, MacDonald MJ. Effects of resistance training combined with moderate-intensity endurance or low-volume high-intensity interval exercise on cardiovascular risk factors in patients with coronary artery disease. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2015 Nov;18(6):637-42.
 94. Biddle SJ, Batterham AM. High-intensity interval exercise training for public health: a big HIT or shall we HIT it on the head? *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2015;12(1):95. doi: 10.1186/s12966-015-0254-9.
 95. Lunt H, Draper N, Marshall HC, Logan FJ, Hamlin MJ, Shearman JP, et al. High Intensity Interval Training in a Real World Setting: A Randomized Controlled Feasibility Study in Overweight Inactive Adults, Measuring Change in Maximal Oxygen Uptake. *PloS one* 2014;9(1); doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083256.
 96. Levinger I, Shaw CS, Stepto NK, Cassar S, McAinch AJ, Cheetham C, et al. What Doesn't Kill You Makes You Fitter: A Systematic Review of High-Intensity Interval Exercise for Patients with Cardiovascular and Metabolic Diseases. *Clin Med Insights Cardiol* 2015;9:53–63.